

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the Official Action of May 10, 2005 and for the opportunity to conduct a telephonic interview on May 26th, 2005. This request for reconsideration is intended to be fully responsive to both the Office communication and to the telephonic correspondence.

Drawings

During the phone conversation the Examiner maintained his objection to the drawings. Drawing Fig. 1 was objected to because it did not show a substation transformer. Fig. 1 has been amended to show the utility power substation transformer connected to the power supply system grid.

The Examiner also stated that the potential transformer (P.T.) and the Current Transformer (C.T.) were not clearly shown in the drawings. Fig. 6 has been added that clearly shows what is included in the distributing disc 102. Further, the specification has been amended to reflect the addition of Fig. 6.

Finally, the Official Draftsperson objected to the drawings stating that Fig. 3 did not have figure legends. Appropriate correction has been made. Further, the Draftsperson stated that Figures 1-4 lacked lead lines. Appropriate correction has been made to Figures 1, 3 and 4. Figure 2, however, is a flow chart and already contains lead lines. Therefore, Applicant has not amended this drawing. If the Examiner or the Official Draftsperson maintains the objection to this drawing then please notify Applicant as soon as possible so that we make the appropriate correction.

Conclusion

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that the replacement drawings fully comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a) and that each of claims 1 through 7 recites statutory subject matter that is novel and new, is subject matter of the present invention and is fully supported in the disclosure of the present invention, and therefore respectfully requests that claims 1 through 7 be found allowable and

that this application be passed to issue. No new matter has been included.

If for any reason, the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the Applicant's undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

In the event this paper has not been timely filed, the Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to counsel's Deposit Account No. 50-2069, referencing docket number 040-305.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Tracy M. Helms
Reg. No. 53,010

Apex Juris, pllc
13194 Edgewater Lane Northeast
Seattle, Washington, 98125
Email: tracy@apexjuris.com
Phone (206) 664-0314
Fax (206) 664-0329